



#### Overview

- Objectives
- Principles
- Framework
- Challenges with Principals
- Next Steps



# Objective / Purpose of New Governance Structure

- Leverage Additional Funding (Federal, State, Local, Private)
- Implement Large Scale Investment Decisions
- Establish Framework for resolving issues:
  - Service Coordination
  - Fares
  - Paratransit
  - Data needs for decision making



### Principles

- Pay-to-Play
- Flexibility
  - Funding
  - Organizational
- Accountability
- Decisions Made at Lowest Appropriate Level
- Customer Focused
- Preserve / Maintain Investments



## Regional Transit System – Decision Making Framework

Service Coordination

Performance Measurement / Monitoring

Fare Coordination

Implementation / Long Range Planning

> **Customer** Information

Regional Funding Allocation

Human Services Transportation Short/Medium
Distance Transit
Operations

HRT

LRT / Streetcar

Arterial Express Bus

Local Bus

Long Distance Transit Operations

Commuter Rail

Intercounty Bus

Express Bus



### Regional Transit System – Current State

Service Coordination – Limited - TPB

Performance Measurement / Monitoring – ARC, GRTA, MARTA, TPB

Fare Coordination –MARTA Recip Agreements

Implementation / Long Range Planning – ARC, GRTA, ??

Customer Information – GDOT (511), MARTA, GRTA, CCT, GCT

Regional Funding Allocation – ARC, ??

Human Services Transportation
– ARC, MARTA, DCH, DHR,
CCT. GCT 5311

Short/Medium
Distance Transit
Operations

MARTA CO

**CCT Local Bus** 

**GCT Local Bus** 

C-TRAN

CATS

The Cliff (Emory)

Tech Trolley

Panther Express

the Buc

AUC / Woodruff

Free Ride

Long Distance
Transit
Operations

GRTA (Xpress)

CCT Express

Gwinnett Express

**MARTA** 

Vanpools

Douglas County RS



Principle	Tools	Challenges
Pay-to-Play	Voting weighted by     Funding contributed	Department of Revenue tracking for sales tax receipts
Funding Flexibility	<ol> <li>Holding Companies</li> <li>Contracting</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Can holding companies be created by public authorities under GA law?</li> <li>MARTA rail contracting limitations</li> </ol>
Organizational Flexibility	<ol> <li>Weighted Voting</li> <li>Unspecified Geographic reach</li> <li>Process for new members</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>MARTA Act specifically limits to 5 counties</li> <li>GRTA Act to AQ Conformity</li> <li>ARC board to 10 counties</li> <li>Department of Revenue tracking for sales tax receipts</li> </ol>
Accountability	<ol> <li>Elected officials</li> <li>Performance Reporting</li> <li>Performance         Measurement/ Monitoring</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Lack of centralized, convenient performance reporting for transit in Atlanta</li> <li>Other demands on elected officials time</li> </ol>
Appropriate Decision Level		Responsibility for and decision making process for regional projects not working
Customer Focused	<ol> <li>Rider Board         Representation</li> <li>Rider Advisory Committee</li> <li>Require ride system</li> </ol>	
Preserve / Maintain Investment	<ol> <li>Performance         Measurement/ Monitoring</li> <li>Specified % of funding for re-investment</li> </ol>	Lack of centralized, convenient performance reporting for transit in Atlanta
Transit Planning Bo		



### Next Steps

- Continue individual Meetings
- Further research on weighted voting options
  - 50% of votes / 50% of population (etc)
- Coordination with MARTA Ad-Hoc

